

REMARKS

This Reply is in response to the Office Action regarding restriction requirement mailed on May 31, 2006 (Office Action)

In the Office Action, the Examiner set forth the following restriction requirement requiring election of one of the below identified groups:

- i. Claims 1-12, drawn to a process, classified in class 427, subclass 1+.
- ii. Claims 13-20, drawn to a film, classified in class 428, subclass 408.

In this Reply, Applicants elect Group I (Claims 1-12) and have withdrawn Group II (Claims 13-20), without prejudice. The election is made with traverse.

Based on the above election, Applicants request removal of the restriction requirement and substantive examination of claims 1-12. Applicants invite the Examiner to call the undersigned if it is believed that the above restriction election is incomplete or improper in any way, or if a telephonic interview will expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance.

Although no fees are believed to be due, the Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees due with the filing of this document and during prosecution of this application to Deposit Account No. 50-0951.

Respectfully submitted,

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

Date: June 23, 2006

Neil R. Jetter
Registration No. 46,803
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
P.O. Box 3188
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188
Tel. 561-653-5000

Docket No. 5853-279

{WP315067.1}